



Our portfolio experiences in EAS

- In-sessional undergraduates, Writing for the Arts & Humanities (WAH)
- Year Round Pre-sessional course (YRPS)



Writing the Arts & Humanities

- Offered to level 3 non-Honours sts in College of Arts
- Runs in semester 1, worth 30 credits
- o 24 sts, inc 3 EU
- The portfolio = 100% of the course assessment



Portfolio Assessment submission consists of:

- 6 8 pieces of original written work (max word count 5000 in total submission)
- Inc short reflective commentary
- Length of each piece must be 'genre appropriate'
- Portfolio must reflect wide range of disciplines and genres discussed in course
- Portfolio must include at least 3 different writing genres
- Eg blogging, criticism, journalise, cartoons, bids

Portfolio Assessment (WAH)

Must include 3 different disciplines (from Archaeology, Celtic & Gaelic, Classics, Comparative Literature, English Language, English Literature, Film Studies, History, History of Art, Information Studies, Modern Languages and Literatures, Music, Philosophy, Scottish Literature, Television Studies, Theatre Studies, Theology and Religious Studies)

Assessment criteria

- Ability to write clearly, confidently in variety of non-academic genres
- Ability to tailor content of and approach to genre conventions and audience
- Subject-specific knowledge and evidence of research
- Ability to reflect critically on writing practice
- Spelling, grammar, presentation



What worked well?

- Allows sts to experiment with wide range of genres
- Can find interesting inputs from outside lecturers
- Confident creative sts respond well to freedom of choice



What did not work so well?

- Impossible to prepare sts on even 50% of potential genres – suggestion: wider use of samples of good work
- Difficult to link inputs
- Less imaginative sts struggle with lack of structure

Portfolio Assessment – Year Round Presessional (YRPS)

Sts Profiles

- Small class of 10.
- All PG. Entry level IELTS 4.5 5
- Chinese, Saudi Arabian, Turkish, South Korean
- 3 tutors: tutor 1 R & W, 1-1 tutorials, tutor 2 L & S, tutor 3
 Supported Independent Study
- Portfolio was assessed separately from 4 skills
- Original form was paper, change in week 6/10 to electronic form (Moodle blog function)



Portfolio Assessment submission consists of:

- Weekly written reflection plus evidence (eg an essay with feedback, a self-study exercise)
- o 9 weeks
- 150 word limit

Weekly feedback from tutor consisting of

- Comments, questions, appropriacy, focus of objectives, strategies, resources
- Sts could nominate tutor for feedback eg W tutor or L tutor



Reflections based on Gibbs (1988) reflective cycle

- Identifying the area
- Describing the situation/feelings
- Analysing the situation
- Describing past responses
- Collaborating to make action plan
- Carrying out and evaluating plan

Portfolio Assessment (YRPS)

Assessment criteria

- Needs further work/satisfactory/strong
- Presents evidence illustrating language learning issue
- Reflection; describes situation/emotion
- Analyses the situation
- Sets goal, takes action
- > Evaluates action and LL outcome, with evidence



What worked well?

- Relevant to sts' personal experiences
- Sts took responsibility for selection of goals and solutions
- Sts monitored and evaluated own progress
- Development of graduate attributes
- connection to PGT programme requirements (reflective writing)
- Some sts enjoyed discursive nature of tutor feedback
- Sts required to engage with feedback



What did not work so well?

- Some sts struggled with st t roles
- Some sts disliked open-ended learning
- Time-consuming activity
- Possible conflict with personal privacy
- T need to 'coach' some sts what's appropriate strategy
- T often had to give substantial feedback (eg if all sts nominate same T)
- Duplication of feedback (esp with 1-1 consultations)



Portfolio Assessment (YRPS)

Final comment from Sts

- First 5 weeks paper portfolio then move to e-portfolio (visible only to T and St)
- Sts preferred this
- Standard formatting
- One repository
- Easy to attach documents

And from Ts

Clear task parameters/deadlines essential



Thank you to:

- Rachel Elmslie, EAS (YRPS)
- William Guariento, EAS (WAH)

Reference:

Gibbs, G., 1988. Learning by doing: A guide to teaching and learning methods. FEU.