

# DEFINITION OF A PORTFOLIO

"An integrated collection of materials assembled by students (and / or teachers) to demonstrate achievement and / or progress in a given area" over time.

### TYPES OF PORTFOLIO

• <u>Development Portfolios</u> – 'Private portfolio' / Not assessed

(Baume, 2013)

Assessed Portfolios

- Best Pieces

(*Ibid*)

and / or

- Demonstration of work in progress

(Ibid)

Format - E-portfolios eg. Padlet

## PRINCIPAL ADVANTAGES

• A form of continuous assessment over a longer period of time

• Help students and teachers monitor student progress, especially with the setting and achieving of learning objectives (ILOs)

• Helps teachers monitor the progress of a given course.

#### NINE FEATURES PRESENT PORTFOLIOS

- 1. Collection
- 2. Range
- 3. 'Context richness' show what has been accomplished
- 4. 'Delayed Evaluation' eg. Re-drafting
- 5. Selection
- 6. Student centred control Especially for points 4 & 5
- 7. 'Reflection' of self-assessment
- 8. 'Measuring growth along specific parameters' (Provide means of)
- 9. Measure development over time.

### THREE MOST IMPORTANT FEATURES

- Collection
- Selection
- Reflection

#### REFLECTION

'Deliberate reflection turns (work collected) into a portfolio' Lam (2017)

## Types of Reflection

- Reflection ON action' (Schön, 1982, cited in Baume, 2003)
- —After completing the task reviewing how well it went
- 'Reflection IN action' (Ibid)
- -Part of the undertaking of the task as well as the final product

## MAIN ADVANTAGES OF PORTFOLIO ASSESSMENT OVER TRADITIONAL TESTING

- 1) 'Construct validity' Continuous assessment over time
- 2) 'Authenticity' More reliable indicator of student progress over time especially L2 writing
- 3) 'Interactiveness' (Action) Students take greater responsibility for own studies
- 4) 'Impact' (Result) The learning process which occurs particularly with reflection and feedback

#### DISADVANTAGES

1) Reliability – If varied can be difficult to grade

(Weigle, 2002)

2) Practicality

- Time

(Lo, 2010)

- Explanation of process to students

(Lo, 2010)

- Teacher training

(Lam, 2017; Hamp-Lyons, 2006, 2007,

2016 cited in Lam, 2017)

3) Psychology – Students – Grades

(Weigle, 2002)

- Teachers — Testing

(Ibid)

- Technology - Anxiety / Frustration technology

(Hung, 2012)

#### RESEARCH INTO PORTFOLIO ANALYSIS

- Weigle (2002) Not enough research
- Lo (2010) Process / Time
- Lam (2017) Review of literature Three recommendations
  - i) Suitable student induction
  - ii) Teacher facilitation
  - iii) Suitable training of teachers
- Pearson (2017) EAP Setting positive results



#### <u>REFERENCES</u>

Baume, D. (2003) Supporting Portfolio Development. York: Learning and Teaching Support Network (LTSN)

Belgrad, S., Burke, K. and Fogarty, R. (2008) The Portfolio Connection: Student Work Linked to Standards (3rd Ed) London: SAGE Ltd.

Freeman, R. and Lewis, R. (1998) Planning and Implementing Assessment. London: Kogan Page Limited

Hung, S-T.A. (2012) 'A washback study on e-portfolio assessment in an English as a Foreign Language teacher preparation program'. Computer Assisted Learning, Vol. 25:1, pp. 21-36

Lam, R. (2017) 'Taking stock of portfolio assessment scholarship: From research to practice' Assessing Writing Vol. 31, pp. 84-97

Lo, Y-F. (2010) 'Implementing reflective portfolios for promoting autonomous learning among EFL college students in Taiwan'. *Language Teaching Research*. Vol. 14(1) pp. 77-95

Pearson, J. (2017) 'Processfolio: Uniting Academic Literacies and Critical Emancipatory Action Research for Practitioner-Led Inquiry Into EAP Writing Assessment'. *Critical Enquiry in Language Studies*. Vol. 14:2-3, pp. 158-181

Weigle, S.C. (2002) Assessing Writing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.